

*Catalyst Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al v. Annora Pharma Private, Ltd. et al.*, 2-23-cv-01194  
(D.N.J. May 5, 2026), docket entry 259

TEXT ORDER: The motion at ECF 230 is denied, largely for the reasons stated by the defendants at ECF 234 . The discovery dispute did not cover the same issues --- the full factual picture has not been meaningfully put before the Court. The Plaintiffs' unfairness argument may impact weight. But at a bench trial especially, it provides no sufficient reason to preclude.. So Ordered by Judge Michael E. Farbiarz on 5/5/26. (ro, )  
(Entered: 05/05/2026)

*Catalyst Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al v. Annora Pharma Private, Ltd. et al.*, 2-23-cv-01194 (D.N.J. May 5, 2026), docket entry 260

TEXT ORDER: The motion at ECF 232 is denied, largely for the reasons set out at ECF 235 (but not for the reasons set out in Part III.E of the ECF 235 submission --- the asserted importance of the information is not a material consideration). There are any number of ways to buttress or to challenge the relevant date. Wayback machine information. Testimony from people who became generally aware of certain facts at certain times. Etc. The parties are free to make arguments along those lines to the extent they wish to. But the hearsay objection is not persuasive. And the authentication objection is baseless. So Ordered by Judge Michael E. Farbiarz on 5/5/26. (ro, ) (Entered: 05/05/2026)

*Catalyst Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al v. Annora Pharma Private, Ltd. et al.*, 2-23-cv-01194  
(D.N.J. May 5, 2026), docket entry 262

TEXT ORDER: There are two open disputes between the parties as to order of proof. See ECF 239 at 10. As to the first, the Court's preference is for the Plaintiff's proposal. As to the second, closing arguments will take place immediately after the close of the evidence as to '893 and '128 and then again immediately after the close of the evidence as to '088. Format. Party 1 will argue for ten minutes. Then Party 2 will argue for ten minutes. Party 1 will then get 5 minutes to explain why Party 2's best argument is wrong. Party 2 will get 5 minutes to respond. Party 1 will then get 5 minutes to explain why Party 2's second-best argument is wrong. Party 2 will get 5 minutes to respond. Party 2 will then get 5 minutes to explain why Party 1's best argument is wrong. Party 1 will get 5 minutes to respond. Party 2 will then get 5 minutes to explain why Party 1's second-best argument is wrong. Party 1 will get 5 minutes to respond. Each party will get 15 minutes for opening argument on May 18, and again on May 26. Time limits will be strictly observed. So Ordered by Judge Michael E. Farbiarz on 5/5/26. (ro, )  
(Entered: 05/05/2026)