

*Tanabe Pharma Corporation f/k/a Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation v. Shanghai Auzone Biological Technology Co., Ltd.*, 2-25-cv-03326 (D.N.J. Mar. 4, 2026), docket entry 57

TEXT ORDER: The Court has received defendants' letter requesting a briefing schedule for a dispute concerning the terms of a DCO (ECF 56 ). The Court declines to issue a briefing schedule, as it fully expects counsel to resolve amongst themselves issues about patent prosecution bars and the like without involving the Court. Counsel may have an extension of time to submit a fully consented to DCO for entry. Meanwhile, no activities set by the scheduling order shall be stayed. Pursuant to Local Patent Rule 2.2, "discovery and disclosures deemed confidential by a party shall be produced to the adverse party for outside counsel's Attorney's Eyes Only, solely for the purposes of the pending case and shall not be disclosed to the client or any other person." So Ordered by Magistrate Judge Leda D. Wettre on 3/4/26. (LM, ) (Entered: 03/04/2026)